

LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN M. MURPHY  
STEPHEN M. MURPHY (SBN # 103768)  
JEREMY A. GRAHAM (SBN # 234166)  
180 Montgomery Street, Suite 940  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel: (415) 986-1338  
Fax: (415) 986-1231

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID M. PORTER  
DAVID M. PORTER (SBN # 124500)  
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2500  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel: (415) 982-8600  
Fax: (415) 391-7808

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY and  
DOES ONE through TWENTY, Inclusive,

Defendants.



Judge: Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel  
Dept.: 15

1 Plaintiff's CHRISTINE DOUGHERTY<sup>untimely</sup> filed her Motion to Enlarge Time So That  
 2 Motion for Summary Adjudication May Be Considered as Timely on May 5, 2008,  
 3 pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3. Defendant AMCO INSURANCE COMPANY filed its  
 4 opposition on May \_\_, 2008. After reviewing the papers submitted in support of and in  
 5 opposition to the motion, the Court finds as follows:

6 ~~DENIED~~  
 Plaintiff's motion is ~~GRANTED~~ in its entirety.

7 The Court's prior Order set March 24, 2008 as the deadline for the parties to  
 8 file motions for summary judgment. (Document No. 44.) Defendant filed its Motion for  
 9 Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment electronically on  
 10 March 19, 2008. (Document Nos. 45-51.) Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary  
 11 Adjudication on April 29, 2008. (Document Nos. 72-76.)

12 The Court FINDS that because 1) the deadline set for the parties to file motions  
 13 for summary judgment was directed primarily at Defendant's Motion for Summary  
 14 Judgment, and 2) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Adjudication relies on substantially  
 15 the same points and authorities as Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for  
 16 Summary Judgment, Defendant is not prejudiced by the Court accepting Plaintiff's  
 17 Motion for Summary Adjudication as timely filed.

18 The deadline for the parties to file motions for summary judgment is, for the  
 19 reasons stated above, retroactively extended to April 30, 2008. Plaintiff's Motion for  
 20 Summary Adjudication filed on April 29, 2008, is, therefore, accepted by the Court as  
 21 timely.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: 5/7/2008

